# Generalized N=2 Compactifications

Jan Louis Universität Hamburg



based on collaboration with:

Mariana Graña, Sebastien Gurrieri, Andrei Micu, Silvia Vaula, Dan Waldram

30 Years of Supergravity, Paris, October 2006

## Introduction

String Theory: strings moving in 10d space-time background

- ⇒ contact with "our world": compactification
- ⇒ choose space-time background:

 $M_4 \times Y_6$ 

 $M_4$ : 4 dim. space-time

 $Y_6$ : compact manifold  $\Rightarrow$  determines amount of supersymmetry

 $\Rightarrow$  fruitful interplay supersymmetry  $\leftrightarrow$  geometry

recently: interplay supersymmetry ↔ generalized geometry

purpose of this talk: review these developments for N = 2 theories

# Type II string compactification:

Lorentz group of space-time background  $M_{10} = M_4 \times Y_6$  decomposes

$$Spin(1,9) \rightarrow Spin(1,3) \times Spin(6)$$

spinors decompose accordingly:  $\mathbf{16} \rightarrow (\mathbf{2, 4}) \oplus (\mathbf{\overline{2}, \overline{4}})$ 

demand that two supercharges  $Q^{1,2}$  exist (N=2)

- $\Rightarrow$  nowhere vanishing, globally defined spinor  $\eta$  needs to exist on  $Y_6$
- $\Rightarrow$  structure group of  $Y_6$  has to be reduced

 $Spin(6) \rightarrow SU(3)$  s.t.  $\mathbf{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{3} + \mathbf{1}$ 

 $\Rightarrow Y_6$  has SU(3)-structure [Gray, Hervella, Salamon, Chiossi, Hitchin, ...]

#### Supersymmetry of the background

gravitino transformation law

 $\delta \Psi = \nabla \eta + (\gamma \cdot F) \eta + \dots, \quad F = \text{background flux}$ 

• F = 0 and  $\nabla \eta = 0$   $\Rightarrow Y_6$  is Calabi-Yau manifold [Candelas,Horowitz,Strominger,Witten] •  $F \neq 0$  and  $\nabla \eta \neq 0$   $\Rightarrow Y_6$  has SU(3) structure

[Strominger, ...]

 $\Rightarrow \text{ non-supersymmetric backgrounds } \delta \Psi \neq 0$  $\Rightarrow F \neq 0 \quad \text{and/or} \quad \nabla \eta \neq 0 \quad \Rightarrow Y_6 \text{ has } SU(3) \text{ structure}$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  analyze manifolds with flux and SU(3) structure

#### Manifolds with SU(3) structure:

characterized by two tensors  $J, \Omega$  (follows from existence of  $\eta$ )

 $\Rightarrow$  (1,1)-form

$$J_{mn} = \eta^{\dagger} \gamma_{[m} \gamma_{n]} \eta , \qquad dJ \neq 0$$

 $\Rightarrow$  almost complex structure

$$I_m{}^n = J_{mp}g^{pn}$$
,  $I^2 = -1$ ,  $N(I) \neq 0$ 

 $\checkmark$  (3,0)-form

$$\Omega_{mnp} = \eta^{\dagger} \gamma_{[m} \gamma_n \gamma_{p]} \eta , \qquad d\Omega \neq 0$$

- Remarks:
  - $dJ, d\Omega \sim$  (intrinsic) torsion of  $Y_6$
  - manifolds are not complex, not Kähler, not Ricci-flat
  - manifolds are classified in terms of SU(3) rep. of  $dJ, d\Omega$
  - Calabi-Yau:  $\nabla \eta = 0 \Rightarrow dJ = d\Omega = N(I) = 0$

N = 2 low energy effective action

$$S = \int_{M_4} \frac{1}{2} R - g_{ab}(z) D_{\mu} z^a D^{\mu} z^b - V(z) + \dots$$

 $z^a$ : scalar fields

- $\Rightarrow g_{ab} \text{ metric on the scalar manifold } \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{SK}^{V} \times \mathcal{M}_{QK}^{H}$  $\mathcal{M}_{SK}^{V} \text{: special Kähler manifold (vector multiplet sector)}$  $\mathcal{M}_{QK}^{H} \text{: quaternionic Kähler manifold (hypermultiplet sector)}$
- $\Rightarrow$  V determined by Killing prepotential  $\vec{P}$

<u>next</u>: compute  $g_{ab}$ ,  $\vec{P}$  for SU(3) structure manifolds

#### compute $g_{ab}$

#### [Graña, Waldram, JL]

- $\Rightarrow$  decompose 10d fields under  $SO(1,3) \times SU(3)$
- ⇒ Impose "standard N = 2" (no massive gravitino multiplets) ⇒ no SU(3) triplets ⇒  $d(J \land J) = 0$  and  $d\Omega^{3,1} = 0$
- $\Rightarrow$  insert into D = 10 action:

$$S_{\rm NS} = \int d^{10}x \sqrt{g} \, e^{-2\phi} \left[ R + 4(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{12}H^2 \right]$$
  
=  $\int d^{10}x \sqrt{g^{(4)}} \left[ R^{(4)} - 2(\partial\phi^{(4)})^2 - \frac{1}{12}e^{-4\phi^{(4)}}H^2_{(4)} - \frac{1}{4}G^{mp}G^{nq}(\partial_{\mu}G_{mn}\partial^{\mu}G_{pq} + \partial_{\mu}B_{mn}\partial^{\mu}B_{pq}) + \dots \right]$   
where  $G^{(4)}_{\mu\nu} = e^{-2\phi_4}G_{\mu\nu}, \qquad \phi^{(4)} = \phi - \frac{1}{4}\ln\det G_{mn}$ 

last term: metric on the space of metric/B-field-deformations

#### compute $g_{ab}$

SU(3) decomposition of metric

$$\delta G_{mn} = \left[\delta G_{mn}\right]_{\mathbf{8}} + \left[\delta G_{mn}\right]_{\mathbf{6}+\mathbf{\overline{6}}} = \delta J + \delta \Omega + \delta \overline{\Omega}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  deformations form product of special geometries  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_J \times \mathcal{M}_\Omega$ with [Hitchin]

$$g_{ab} = \partial_a \partial_b (K_J + K_\Omega), \qquad e^{-K_J} = \int_{Y_6} J \wedge J \wedge J, \qquad e^{-K_\Omega} = \int_{Y_6} \Omega \wedge \overline{\Omega}$$

#### Remarks:

- same as for Calabi-Yau manifolds [Strominger; Candelas, de la Ossa] (since dJ,  $d\Omega$  do not appear )
- additional scalars/two-forms from RR-sector

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{SK}^V \times \mathcal{M}_{QK}^H \supset \mathcal{M}_J \times \mathcal{M}_{\Omega}$$

• convenient formulation of N = 2:  $\Rightarrow$  [de Wit, Samtleben, Trigiante]

# compute $\vec{P}$

from supersymmetry transformation of gravitino

$$\delta\psi_{A\,\mu} = D_{\mu}\varepsilon_A + i\gamma_{\mu}S_{AB}\varepsilon^B + \dots, \qquad S_{AB} = \frac{i}{2}e^{\frac{1}{2}K_V}\vec{\sigma}_{AB}\vec{P}, \qquad A = 1,2$$

#### IIA:

$$P^{1} + iP^{2} = e^{\frac{1}{2}K_{\Omega} + \phi^{(4)}} \int_{Y_{6}} e^{-(B+iJ)} \wedge d\Omega, \qquad P^{3} = e^{2\phi^{(4)}} \int_{Y_{6}} e^{-(B+iJ)} \wedge F_{A}$$

 $\underline{\mathsf{IIB}} \qquad \qquad F \equiv \sum_{\text{RR-forms}} F^{\text{RR}}$ 

$$P^{1} + iP^{2} = e^{\frac{1}{2}K_{J} + \phi^{(4)}} \int_{Y_{6}} \Omega \wedge de^{-(B+iJ)} , \qquad P^{3} = e^{2\phi^{(4)}} \int_{Y_{6}} \Omega \wedge F_{\mathsf{B}}$$

Remarks:

- potential:  $V = V(\vec{P}, \partial \vec{P})$  (includes NS-flux  $H_3$  & RR-fluxes  $F_A, F_B$ )
- torsion  $d\Omega$ , dJ appear in  $\vec{P}$  but not in K

# Manifolds with $SU(3) \times SU(3)$ structure:

In type II one can be more general: [Grana,Waldram,JL] choose different spinors  $\eta^1, \eta^2$  for the two gravitini  $\Psi^{1,2}$ each spinor defines SU(3) — together  $\underline{SU(3) \times SU(3)}$  structure  $SU(3) \times SU(3)$  structure: characterized by pair  $J^{1,2}, \Omega^{1,2}$ 

more convenient formalism: define pure spinors  $\Phi^+, \Phi^-$  of SO(6, 6)

$$\Phi^{+} = e^{B} \eta^{1}_{+} \otimes \bar{\eta}^{2}_{+} = \sum \Phi^{+}_{\text{even}} , \qquad \Phi^{-} = e^{B} \eta^{1}_{+} \otimes \bar{\eta}^{2}_{-} = \sum \Phi^{+}_{\text{odd}} ,$$

SU(3) structure ( $\eta^1=\eta^2$ ):  $\Phi^+=e^{B+iJ}\ ,\qquad \Phi^-=\Omega\ ,$ 

#### Couplings for $SU(3) \times SU(3)$ compactifications

- $\Rightarrow$  decompose 10d fields under  $SO(1,3) \times SU(3) \times SU(3)$
- $\Rightarrow \text{ impose "standard } N = 2" \text{ (no massive gravitino multiplets)} \\\Rightarrow \text{ no } (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})$

 $\Rightarrow$  insert into D = 10 action:  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{\Phi^+} \times \mathcal{M}_{\Phi^-}$ 

with 
$$e^{-K_{\Phi^+}} = \int_{Y_6} \langle \Phi^+, \overline{\Phi}^+ \rangle$$
,  $e^{-K_{\Phi^-}} = \int_{Y_6} \langle \Phi^-, \overline{\Phi}^- \rangle$ 

where  $\langle \Phi^+, \overline{\Phi}^+ \rangle = \Phi_0^+ \wedge \overline{\Phi}_6^+ - \Phi_2^+ \wedge \overline{\Phi}_4^+ + \Phi_4^+ \wedge \overline{\Phi}_2^+ - \Phi_6^+ \wedge \overline{\Phi}_0^+$ , etc.

IIA: 
$$P^1 + iP^2 = e^{\frac{1}{2}K_{\Phi^-} + \phi^{(4)}} \int_{Y_6} \langle \Phi^+, d\Phi^- \rangle, \qquad P^3 = e^{2\phi^{(4)}} \int_{Y_6} \langle \Phi^+, F_A \rangle$$

IIB: 
$$P^1 + iP^2 = e^{\frac{1}{2}K_{\Phi^+} + \phi^{(4)}} \int_{Y_6} \langle \Phi^-, d\Phi^+ \rangle$$
,  $P^3 = e^{2\phi^{(4)}} \int_{Y_6} \langle \Phi^-, F_\mathsf{B} \rangle$ 

#### Mirror symmetry

➡ for Calabi-Yau manifolds:

'every' Y has a mirror manifold  $ilde{Y}$  with

$$h^{1,1}(Y) = h^{1,2}(\tilde{Y}) , \qquad h^{1,2}(Y) = h^{1,1}(\tilde{Y}) ,$$
  
$$\mathcal{M}_J(Y) \equiv \mathcal{M}_\Omega(\tilde{Y}) , \qquad \mathcal{M}_\Omega(Y) \equiv \mathcal{M}_J(\tilde{Y}) .$$

manifestation in string theory:

IIA in background  $R_{1,3} imes Y ~\equiv$  IIB in background  $R_{1,3} imes ilde{Y}$ 

useful for computing instanton correction to the large volume limit

## Mirror symmetry with fluxes and generalized geometries

[Grana, Minasian, Petrini, Tomasiello; Gurrieri, Micu, Waldram, JL; Grana, Waldram, JL]

so far only in the large volume (supergravity) limit

 $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi^+}(Y) \equiv \mathcal{M}_{\Phi^-}(\tilde{Y}), \qquad \mathcal{M}_{\Phi^-}(Y) \equiv \mathcal{M}_{\Phi^+}(\tilde{Y}), \qquad \vec{P}(Y) \equiv \vec{P}(\tilde{Y}),$ 

for

$$\Phi^+(Y) = \Phi^-(\tilde{Y}) , \qquad \Phi^-(Y) = \Phi^+(\tilde{Y}) , \qquad F_A(Y) = F_B(\tilde{Y})$$

with

$$\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{Im}\Phi^-=0$$

 $\Rightarrow$  (generalized) half-flat geometry

 $\Rightarrow$  type IIA and type IIB compactification are equivalent !

# Non-geometric backgrounds

[Dabholkar,Hull; Mathai,Rosenberg; Shelton,Taylor,Wecht; Grange,Schäfer-Nameki]

idea:

 $Y_6$  can be a <u>T-fold</u> (structure group includes T-duality)

- is well defined in string theory
- arises as mirror dual of certain class of fluxes (magnetic fluxes)
- can be discussed in terms of  $SU(3) \times SU(3)$  formalism developed

[Grana,Waldram,JL]

## conjecture:

Non-geometric backgrounds can also be classified in terms of  $SU(3)\times SU(3)$  structure

 $\Rightarrow$  G-structures can be extended to non-geometrical backgrounds

#### Non-perturbative dualities with flux

[Curio,Klemm,Körs,Lüst; Micu,JL]

Non-perturbative duality:

Heterotic on  $K3 \times T^2 \leftrightarrow$  Type IIA on Calabi-Yau threefold

r Heterotic: gauge-field flux on K3:

$$\int_{\gamma^i} F^A = \theta^{Ai} , \qquad i = 1, \dots, 22$$

internal b becomes charged and potential is induced

$$Db^i = db^i - \theta^i_A A^A$$
,  $V_{\rm het} \neq 0$ 

rightarrow proposed dual: type IIA on specific SU(3)-structure manifold

<u>checks</u>: Killing vectors, consistency of V with gauged supergravity problem: duality in hypermultiplet sector!

Rewrite ten-dimensional supergravity in N = 2-form [de Wit,Nicolai]

- $\Rightarrow$  decompose 10d fields under  $SO(1,3) \times SU(3) \times SU(3)$
- $\Rightarrow \text{ impose "standard } N = 2" \text{ (no massive gravitino multiplets)} \\\Rightarrow \text{ no } (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})$ 
  - $\Rightarrow$  ten-dimensional fields fall into N = 2 multiplets of SO(1,3)
- $\Rightarrow$  insert into ten-dimensional action but do not integrate over  $Y_6$
- G define space of metric deformations as before
- resulting geometries are again special Kähler geometries with

$$e^{-K_J} = J \wedge J \wedge J$$
,  $e^{-K_\Omega} = \Omega \wedge \overline{\Omega}$ 

IIA:  $P^{1} + iP^{2} = e^{\frac{1}{2}K_{\Omega} + \phi^{(4)}} e^{-(B+iJ)} \wedge d\Omega$ ,  $P^{3} = e^{2\phi^{(4)}} e^{-(B+iJ)} \wedge F_{A}$ IIB:  $P^{1} + iP^{2} = e^{\frac{1}{2}K_{J} + \phi^{(4)}} \Omega \wedge de^{-(B+iJ)}$ ,  $P^{3} = -e^{2\phi^{(4)}} \Omega \wedge F_{B}$ 

# Summary

- $\clubsuit$  compactifications on manifolds with  $SU(3)\times SU(3)$  structure
  - $\Rightarrow$  product of special geometries

 $\Rightarrow K = K_{\Phi^+} + K_{\Phi^-}$  is independent on torsion

- ➡ potential depends on torsion and background fluxes
- S mirror symmetry and (some) non-perturbative dualities hold

(in the supergravity limit)

- $\Rightarrow$  non-geometric backgrounds can be included in the  $SU(3)\times SU(3)$  formalism
- S landscape seems even richer than previously thought